UPR-0546-T/Rev

Non-Extreme and Ultra-Extreme Domain Walls and Their Global Space-Times

Mirjam Cvetič[(a)], Stephen Griffies[(b)], and Harald H. Soleng[(c)]
Department of Physics, University of Pennsylvania, 209 South 33rd Street, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19104-6396, U. S. A.

Non-extreme walls (bubbles with two insides) and ultra-extreme walls (bubbles of false vacuum decay) are discussed. Their respective energy densities are higher and lower than that of the corresponding extreme (supersymmetric), planar domain wall. These singularity free space-times exhibit non-trivial causal structure analogous to certain non-extreme black holes. We focus on anti-de Sitter–Minkowski walls and comment on Minkowski–Minkowski walls with trivial extreme limit, as well as walls adjacent to de Sitter space-times with no extreme limit.

PACS numbers: 04.20.-q, 04.65.+e, 11.30.Pb

arXiv:hep-th/9212020v2 29 May 1993

Domain walls can form as topological defects in the early Universe in theories with isolated minima of the matter potential [1] or as boundaries of true vacuum bubbles nucleating in a false vacuum [2]. The induced space-times of domain walls provide a fertile ground to study globally non-trivial space-times without singularities. Here we discuss certain domain walls which are natural generalizations of the planar, extreme domain walls with energy density $\sigma_{\rm ext} \equiv \sigma_{\rm susy}$, that separate isolated supersymmetric vacua [3–8]. The non-extreme wall ($\sigma_{\rm non} > \sigma_{\rm ext}$) corresponds to a bubble with two insides; i.e., each side of the wall is inside a bubble. The ultra-extreme wall ($\sigma_{\rm ultra} < \sigma_{\rm ext}$) corresponds to the false vacuum decay [2,9] tunneling bubble [10]. We explain the relation between the non- and ultra-extreme domain wall bubbles [10] and the supersymmetric extreme walls [3–8]. The interesting global structure of the solutions with analogies to certain black holes is pointed out.

We choose to describe the gravitational field in the rest frame of the wall, i.e. we use comoving coordinates of observers sitting on the wall. Hence, the wall is placed at a fixed z-coordinate, and the metric is static in the (t,z)-directions transverse to the wall. The metric is assumed to be homogeneous and isotropic in the (ϱ,ϕ) surfaces parallel to the wall [11]. Since the extrinsic curvature is independent of the wall's proper time, one can show [12] that the metric is

$$ds^2 = A(z)\left(dt^2 - dz^2 - \beta^{-2}\,\cosh^2\beta t\; d\Omega_2^2\right)\ , \qquad (1)$$

with $A(z) > 0$ and $d\Omega_2^2 \equiv [1-(\beta\varrho)^2]^{-1}d(\beta\varrho)^2 + (\beta\varrho)^2 d\phi^2$. In the extreme limit, $\beta \to 0$, the (ϱ,ϕ) surface becomes a plane with ϱ and ϕ planar polar coordinates. When $\beta \neq 0$, the (ϱ,ϕ) hyperspace is the surface of a three-dimensional sphere, that is, its topology is $\mathbf{S}^2$ [8]. In this case the coordinate $\varrho = \beta^{-1}\sin\theta$ is compact. The scalar curvature of the spatial $\mathbf{S}^2$ is $2\beta^2 A(z)^{-1}(\cosh\beta t)^{-2}$. The constant z section with $\beta \neq 0$ is (2+1)-dimensional de Sitter space-time (dS_3), which has the topology $\mathbf{R}(\text{time}) \times \mathbf{S}^2(\text{space})$ [13]. dS_3 is completely covered by the coordinates (t,θ,ϕ). Indeed, geodesic completeness for this (2+1)-dimensional space-time requires the use of the compact spatial section in the directions parallel to the wall [14]. The novel issues of geodesic completeness in the (1+1)-dimensional space-time transverse to the wall, the (t,z)-directions, will be addressed in this Letter.

The extreme walls ($\beta = 0$) induce a static, conformally flat space-time [4,5,15] classified in Ref. [5]: two types of AdS_4–AdS_4 walls (Type II and Type III) and an AdS_4–M_4 wall (Type I) where AdS_4 and M_4 denote the type of asymptotic geometry away from the wall, i.e. anti-de Sitter and Minkowski space-time, respectively. Here, we focus on space-times which are asymptotically M_4 in the direction transverse to the wall (Type I and its generalizations), and comment on the other possibilities at the end.

The extreme Type I wall energy density, $\sigma_{\rm ext}$, and the conformal factor, $A(z)$, are

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{\rm ext} &= 2\kappa^{-1}\alpha \\ A(z) &= \begin{cases} (\alpha z - 1)^{-2} & z < -w \\ 1 & z > +w\ , \end{cases} \end{aligned} \qquad (2)$$

where, without loss of generality, the wall is centered at $z = 0$. $2w > 0$ is the width of the wall and $\kappa \equiv 8\pi G$. The M_4 side is chosen to be at $z > w$, and the cosmological constant $\Lambda \equiv -3\alpha^2$ with $\alpha \geq 0$ on the $z < w$ side. The *horo-spherical* coordinates used on the AdS_4 side are discussed in [5,8,16]. In the supersymmetric model the fields are governed by coupled first order rather than second order differential equations, thus allowing for a straightforward solution of the field equations for any thickness of the wall [4,5]. The coordinates of the metric (1) are not geodesically complete in the (t,z) directions. Geodesic extensions have been provided with emphasis on the Type I walls in Ref. [7] and Type II walls in Ref. [8].

We now discuss walls with $\beta > 0$. Primarily we describe infinitely thin walls, $w = 0$, and thus employ Israel's formalism of singular hypersurfaces [17]. Neverthe-

less, we motivate our analysis from an underlying scalar field theory and mention generic thick wall results where appropriate. Israel's matching conditions at the wall are $\kappa S^{\mu}{}_{\nu} \equiv -[K^{\mu}{}_{\nu}]^{-} + \delta^{\mu}{}_{\nu}[K]^{-} = 2(\delta^{\mu}{}_{\nu} + n^{\mu}n_{\nu})[K^{t}{}_{t}]^{-}$. Here $K^{i}{}_{j} \equiv -n^{i}{}_{;j}$ is the wall's extrinsic curvature, and $n^{\nu} = \pm A^{-1/2}\delta^{\nu}{}_{z}$ is the space-like *unit* normal orthogonal to the wall's four velocity $u^{\nu} = A^{-1/2}\delta^{\nu}{}_{t}$. $[K]^{-} \equiv K_{(z\to 0^{+})} - K_{(z\to 0^{-})}$ signifies the discontinuity of the extrinsic curvature at the wall, and the Lanczos surface energy-momentum tensor $\kappa S^{i}{}_{j} = \sigma\delta^{i}{}_{j}$ is of the domain wall form [18]. The sign ambiguity of n^{μ} is resolved by demanding a positive energy density for the wall and by using the underlying scalar field theory to identify the wall with a kink-like source. Then, Einstein's field equations and Israel's matching method yield two kinds of solutions with energy density and conformal factor

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma^{\rm non}_{\rm ultra} &= 2\kappa^{-1}[(\alpha^2+\beta^2)^{1/2} \pm \beta] \\ A(z) &= \begin{cases} \beta^2\alpha^{-2}[\sinh(\beta z - \beta z')]^{-2} & z<0 \\ e^{\mp 2\beta z} & z>0\,, \end{cases} \end{aligned} \qquad (3)$$

where $e^{2\beta z'} \equiv [\alpha^2 + 2\beta^2 + 2\beta(\beta^2+\alpha^2)^{1/2}]/\alpha^2 \equiv \eta \geq 1$ is determined by $A(0) \equiv 1$.

The upper sign solution of Eq. (3) represents a *non-extreme wall*. In the non-extreme wall region the potential barrier associated with the scalar field is larger than in the corresponding extreme domain wall [19], which implies that $\sigma_{\rm non} > \sigma_{\rm ext}$, and that $A(z)$ falls off on the M_4 side. Within $N=1$ supergravity theory, it can be shown that such a wall can be realized as a wall interpolating between a supersymmetric M_4 vacuum and an AdS_4 vacuum with supersymmetry spontaneously broken.

At $t=0$ the bubble has a radius β^{-1} which then increases as as $\cosh\beta t$. Additionally, since the radius of the bubble $\beta^{-1}A(z)^{1/2}\cosh\beta t$ decreases as we move spatially away from the bubble in both z directions, observers on *both* sides are *inside* the bubble.

The non-extreme walls exhibit cosmological horizons on both the AdS_4 and M_4 sides. Namely, a particle with energy per unit mass $\epsilon \geq 1$, freely falling at constant θ and ϕ in the $z \to \mp\infty$-direction, has a finite proper time $\tau = \alpha^{-1}(\arcsin\{[1+(\epsilon\alpha/\beta)^2]^{-1/2}(\eta+1)/(\eta-1)\} - \arcsin[1+(\epsilon\alpha/\beta)^2]^{-1/2})$ and $\tau = \beta^{-1}[\epsilon - (\epsilon^2-1)^{1/2}]$, respectively. As $\beta \to 0$, the cosmological horizon on the AdS_4 side becomes a Cauchy horizon (as in the extreme wall space-time) with $\tau = \alpha^{-1}\arcsin(1/\epsilon)$, while the M_4 side becomes geodesically complete [7].

To investigate geodesically complete space-times for the non-extreme walls, we transform the metric (3) to the inertial spherical M_4 and *Einstein cylinder* AdS_4 coordinates on the respective sides. Introducing the radial Rindler coordinates $\underline{t} = \beta^{-1}e^{-\beta z}\sinh\beta t$ and $\underline{r} = \beta^{-1}e^{-\beta z}\cosh\beta t$ brings the line element on the M_4 side to the spherically symmetric form $ds^2 = d\underline{t}^2 - d\underline{r}^2 - \underline{r}^2 d\Omega_2^2$. The $(\underline{t},\underline{r},\theta,\phi)$ coordinates define an intertial frame in which the bubble at $z = 0^{+}$ lives on the hyperbolic trajectory $\underline{r}^2 - \underline{t}^2 = -\tan(u'/2)\tan(v'/2) = \beta^{-2}$ with constant acceleration β; i.e. a Rindler trajectory [20]. Here $u', v' = 2\tan^{-1}[\beta(\underline{t} \mp \underline{r})]$ are the usual compact null coordinates. On the AdS_4 side, we map to the spherically symmetric *Einstein cylinder* coordinates [14]. This transformation is done in three steps: (i) $\ln\Xi = \beta(z-z')$. (ii) Radial Rindler transformation: $T = \Xi\sinh\beta t$ and $R = \Xi\cosh\beta t$. (iii) Compact time-like and radial coordinates: $T \pm R = \tan[(t_c \pm \psi)/2]$. The line element on the AdS_4 side ($z<0$) becomes $ds^2 = (\alpha\cos\psi)^{-2}(dt_c^2 - d\psi^2 - \sin^2\psi d\Omega_2^2)$, where $-\pi \leq t_c \pm \psi \leq \pi$ and $0 \leq \psi \leq \pi/2$. The center of symmetry is at $\psi = R = 0$. The bubble at $z = 0^{-}$ again lives on a hyperbolic trajectory $R^2 - T^2 = -\tan[(t_c-\psi)/2]\tan[(t_c+\psi)/2] = \eta^{-1}$.

The (t,z)-chart is an interpolating map which covers the space-time on both sides of the non-extreme wall region. To complete the space-time, we extend onto pure M_4 and AdS_4 on the respective sides, as shown in Fig. 1. On the AdS_4 side, one may consider a symmetric, periodic extension yielding a lattice structure of walls. The Penrose diagram for this extension bears remarkable similarities to the one of a non-extreme ($m^2G > e^2$) Reissner-Nordström (RN) black hole; however, *without* singularities. The endpoints of the wall trajectories are on the affine boundary of AdS_4 [7,8,21] and M_4 and thus are not probed. The AdS_4 (**A**) and M_4 (**M**) diagrams are linked to each other at the wall regions.

The analogy between the space-time of the walls and that of black holes goes further. On the AdS_4 side of the non-extreme walls, the metric in the (t,z)-directions is identical to that of the (t,r)-directions near the event horizon of non-extreme black holes. For the RN system in its (t,r)-section, we have the line element $ds^2 = a(\rho)dt^2 - a(\rho)^{-1}d\rho^2$, where $\rho \equiv r - r_{+} \to 0^{+}$, $a(\rho) = \rho(\rho+\Delta r)/r_{+}^2$, $r_{\pm} = G[m \pm (m^2 - e^2G^{-1})^{1/2}]$ and $\Delta r \equiv r_{+} - r_{-}$. Defining $e^{2\beta z} \equiv \eta\rho/(\rho+\Delta r)$ along with $2\beta = \Delta r/r_{+}^2$ and $\alpha = 1/r_{+}$, brings the above metric to the form $ds^2 = A(z)(dt^2 - dz^2)$ where $A(z)$ is the conformal factor on the AdS_4 side of the wall (Eq. (3) for $z<0$). As one approaches the extreme RN limit ($m^2G \to e^2$), $\Delta r \to 0$, and $A(z)$ reduces to that of the extreme domain wall (Eq. (2) for $z<0$). Furthermore, on the M_4 side of the wall, the metric in the (t,z)-directions (Eq. (3) for $z>0$) corresponds to the (t,r)-directions of the Schwarzschild horizon [20]: $ds^2 = a(\rho)dt^2 - a(\rho)^{-1}d\rho^2$ with $a(\rho) = \rho(2mG)^{-1}$ and $\rho \equiv r - 2mG \to 0$. Here we set $\rho \equiv (2mG)e^{-2\beta z}$ and $\beta^{-1} \equiv 4mG$.

The lower sign solution of Eq. (3) describes an *ultra-extreme wall*. For these walls the potential barrier associated with the scalar field is smaller than that of the extreme walls [19], which means $\sigma_{\rm ultra} < \sigma_{\rm ext}$ and the metric blows up on the M_4 side. Ultra-extreme walls exhibit the same causal structure on the AdS_4 side as the non-extreme wall. However, the M_4 side is geodesically complete in the (t,z)-coordinates. The M_4 side is

the complement of the M_4 side of the non-extreme wall (see Fig. 2). The two diagrams are linked at the wall region. The regions bounded by the curved trajectory of the wall and the null infinities are covered by the (t, z)-coordinates.

The Minkowski side is on the *outside* of the ultra-extreme bubble because the radius $\beta^{-1}A(z)^{1/2}\cosh\beta t$ increases with z on the $z > 0$ side. On the AdS_4 side; however, the radius decreases away from the wall, and thus AdS_4 is on the *inside* just as for the non-extreme solution. Since $\sigma_{\rm ultra} < 2\kappa^{-1}\alpha$, i.e. below the Coleman-De Luccia bound [9,22], the ultra-extreme solution for $t \geq 0$ describes the classical evolution of a bubble [10] of true vacuum created by the quantum tunneling process of false vacuum decay [2,9]. At $t = 0$ the bubble is formed with radius β^{-1}, expands as $\cosh\beta t$, and inevitably hits all time-like observers on the M_4 side. If there were no Cauchy horizons, the AdS_4 side would collapse to a singularity [23]. However, as shown in Fig. 1 there *are* Cauchy horizons on the AdS_4 side. Thus, the conclusion of Ref. [23] that the AdS_4 space collapses does not apply.

For completeness, we also give results for the Israel matching of thin AdS_4–AdS_4 walls. Type II walls [5,15], with $\sigma_{\rm ext} = 2\kappa^{-1}(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)$, have a unique non-extreme counterpart, with $\sigma_{\rm non} = 2\kappa^{-1}[(\alpha_1^2 + \beta^2)^{1/2} + (\alpha_2^2 + \beta^2)^{1/2}]$. Type III walls [5], with $\sigma_{\rm ext} = 2\kappa^{-1}(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2)$, have a unique ultra-extreme counterpart with $\sigma_{\rm ultra} = 2\kappa^{-1}[(\alpha_1^2 + \beta^2)^{1/2} - (\alpha_2^2 + \beta^2)^{1/2}]$. Both walls have analogous solutions for the metric coefficient $A(z)$ and the geodesic extensions [12].

The M_4–M_4 walls [18] and their geodesic extensions correspond to the $\alpha \to 0$ limit of the non-extreme AdS_4–M_4 walls with the metric (1). In this limit, Eq. (3) reduces to $\sigma_{\rm non} = 4\kappa^{-1}\beta$ and $A(z) = e^{-2\beta|z|}$ for $|z| > 0$. Such walls have a trivial extreme limit $\beta \to 0$ with $\sigma_{\rm non} = 4\kappa^{-1}\beta \to 0$. This is analogous to the case of the Schwarzschild space-time, which admits supersymmetry only in the trivial case of vanishing mass.

There are also walls separating de Sitter space (dS_4) from other vacua [24–26]. An AdS_4–dS_4 wall ($\Lambda_2 \equiv +3\alpha_2^2$) has two wall solutions with $\sigma_\pm = 2\kappa^{-1}[(\alpha_1^2 + \beta^2)^{1/2} \pm (-\alpha_2^2 + \beta^2)^{1/2}]$, whereas a dS_4–dS_4 wall has the two solutions $\sigma_\pm = 2\kappa^{-1}[(-\alpha_1^2 + \beta^2)^{1/2} \pm (-\alpha_2^2 + \beta^2)^{1/2}]$. M_4–dS_4 walls correspond to the special case $\alpha_1 = 0$. None of these walls have an extreme limit ($\beta \to 0$) since β cannot be smaller than α of the dS_4 space(s) [24]. Additionally, they separate vacua which are unstable to quantum tunneling [9] except in the fine-tuned dS_4–dS_4 case $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \alpha$ with $\sigma = 4\kappa^{-1}(-\alpha^2 + \beta^2)^{1/2}$.

Local and global properties of exact domain wall solutions have been analyzed. The non-extreme wall corresponds to a bubble with two insides. Its energy density is bounded from below by the one of the extreme wall, which is a planar supersymmetric configuration. Since the energy density of the extreme domain wall is equal to the Coleman-De Luccia bound [9], supersymmetry provides a lower bound [27] for a non-extreme domain wall. On the other hand, the ultra-extreme wall, which has energy density lower than the one of the extreme wall, corresponds to the classical evolution of a bubble [9,10,26] of true AdS_4 created by the decay of the false M_4 vacuum.

We would like to thank R. Davis, G. Gibbons, G. Horowitz, G. Segrè, and P. Steinhardt for useful discussions. This work was supported in part by U. S. DOE Grant No. DOE-EY-76-C-02-3071, SSC Junior Faculty Fellowship (M. C.), NATO Research Grant No. 900-700 (M. C.), Fridtjof Nansen Foundation Grant No. 152/92 (H. H. S.), Lise and Arnfinn Heje's Foundation Ref. No. 0F0377/1992 (H. H. S.), and by the Norwegian Research Council for Science and the Humanities (NAVF), Grant No. 420.92/022 (H. H. S.).

(a) email CVETIC@CVETIC.HEP.UPENN.EDU

(b) email GRIFFIES@CVETIC.HEP.UPENN.EDU. Address after Aug. 1, 1993: Geophysical Fluid Dynamics Lab, Princeton University, Forrestal Campus, US Route 1, PO Box 308, Princeton, NJ 08542.

(c) email SOLENG@STEINHARDT.HEP.UPENN.EDU or SOLENG@VUOEP6.UIO.NO. On leave from the Institute of Physics, University of Oslo, P.O. Box 1048 Blindern, N-0316 Oslo, Norway until June 1, 1993. Address after Aug. 1, 1993: NORDITA, Blegdamsvej 17, DK-Copenhagen 2100 Ø, Denmark.

[1] For reviews see, e.g. T. W. B. Kibble, Phys. Rep. **67**, 183 (1980); A. Vilenkin, Phys. Rep. **121**, 263 (1985).

[2] For a review see e.g. S. Coleman, *Aspects of Symmetry* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1985).

[3] Globally supersymmetric domain walls were studied by M. Cvetič, F. Quevedo, and S.-J. Rey, Phys. Rev. Lett. **63**, 1836 (1991) and by E. Abraham and P. Townsend, Nucl. Phys. **B351**, 313 (1991).

[4] M. Cvetič, S. Griffies, and S.-J. Rey, Nucl. Phys. **B381**, 301 (1992).

[5] M. Cvetič and S. Griffies, Phys. Lett. B **285**, 27 (1992).

[6] For a review, see: M. Cvetič and S. Griffies, *Domain Walls in $N = 1$ Supergravity*, in *Proc. Int. Symp. on Black Holes, Membranes and Wormholes,* The Woodlands, Texas, January 1992, edited by S. Kalara and D. Nanopoulos (World Scientific, Singapore, in press).

[7] M. Cvetič, R. L. Davis, S. Griffies, and H. H. Soleng, Phys. Rev. Lett. **70**, 1191 (1993).

[8] G. W. Gibbons, *Global Structure of Supergravity Domain Wall Space-Times* DAMTP preprint R-92/38, October 1992, Nucl. Phys. B (in press).

[9] S. Coleman and F. De Luccia, Phys. Rev. D **21**, 3305 (1980). In this paper the bound on the energy density σ of a quantum tunneling bubble was established.

[10] V. A. Berezin, V. A. Kuzmin, and I. I. Tkachev, Phys. Lett. **120B**, 91 (1983).

[11] This is consistent with a scalar field source $\Phi = \Phi(z)$, for which $T^{\mu}{}_{\nu} = v\delta^{\mu}{}_{\nu} + k(\delta^{\mu}{}_{\nu} + 2n^{\mu}n_{\nu})$, where $n^{\mu} \equiv \pm A^{-1/2}\delta^{\mu}{}_{z}$, and v and k are the potential and kinetic energies, respectively.

[12] M. Cvetič, S. Griffies, and H. H. Soleng, University of Pennsylvania preprint UPR-535-T (to appear).

[13] Since the topology of AdS_4 is $\mathbf{S}^1(\text{time}) \times \mathbf{R}^3(\text{space})$, the time-coordinate used in Eq. (1) is a de-compactification of the periodic time of AdS_4 [5,8].

[14] S. W. Hawking and G. F. R. Ellis, *The Large Scale Structure of Space-Time* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1973).

[15] The thin, reflection symmetric, extreme type II wall was found by B. Linet, Int. J. Theor. Phys. **34**, 1159 (1985).

[16] S. Griffies, PhD thesis, (University of Pennsylvania Physics Department, Philadelphia, USA, 1993).

[17] W. Israel, Nuovo Cimento **44B**, 1 (1966); **48B**, 463 (1967).

[18] A. Vilenkin, Phys. Lett. **133B**, 177 (1983); J. Ipser and P. Sikivie, Phys. Rev. D **30**, 712 (1984).

[19] Einstein's equations, with a z dependent scalar field, are $3H^2 = 4[3\beta^2 + (k - v)A(z)]$, $3\partial_z H = -2(2k + v)A(z)$, where $H \equiv \partial_z \ln A(z)$, and v and k are given in [11]. For $z < -w$, $k = 0$ and $v = -3\kappa\alpha^2$ and for $z > w$, $k = 0$ and $v = 0$. However, inside the wall ($|z| < w$), $k > 0$.

[20] See e.g. N. D. Birrell and P. C. W. Davies, *Quantum Fields in Curved Space* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1982).

[21] S. J. Avis, C. J. Isham, and D. Storey, Phys. Rev. D **18**, 3565 (1978).

[22] In the case of non-positive cosmological constants $\Lambda = -3\alpha_{1,2}^2$, the Coleman-De Luccia bound [9] is $\sigma < 2\kappa^{-1}|\alpha_1 - \alpha_2|$; cf. M. Cvetič, S. Griffies, and S.-J. Rey, Nucl. Phys. **B389**, 3 (1993).

[23] L. F. Abbott and S. Coleman, Nucl. Phys. **B259**, 170 (1985).

[24] H. Sato, Progr. Theor. Phys. **76**, 1250 (1986).

[25] S. K. Blau, E. I. Guendelman, and A. H. Guth, Phys. Rev. D **35**, 1747 (1987).

[26] V. A. Berezin, V. A. Kuzmin, and I. I. Tkachev, Phys. Rev. D **36**, 2919 (1987).

[27] In the black hole context, see G. W. Gibbons in: *Supersymmetry, Supergravity and Related Topics* edited by F. del Aguila et al. (World Scientific, Singapore 1985), p. 147; R. E. Kallosh, A. D. Linde, T. M. Ortín, A. W. Peet, and A. van Proeyen, Phys. Rev. D **46**, 5278 (1992).

FIG. 1. Conformal diagram for the non-extreme domain wall system. The regions between the curved lines, representing the wall, and the dotted lines, representing the cosmological horizons, are covered by the (t, z)-chart. We extend across the cosmological horizons onto pure AdS_4 (**A**) and M_4 (**M**). The time-direction is vertical, and inside each bubble the axis of symmetry represents the world line of the bubble's center. Opposite points on the right and left side of this axis represent antipodal points ($\theta \to \pi - \theta$ and $\phi \to \phi + \pi$). The **M** side is a cross-section of the hyperboloid of dS_3 as embedded in M_4 (see Ref. [14] for the analogous case of dS_4). The two diagrams are identified across the wall region by revolving and rotating the de Sitter hyperboloid of the wall space-time as embedded in Minkowski space, **M**, around the AdS-cylinder, **A**, and identifying adjacent points of the two wall regions. The dashed diagonals in the **A** diagram correspond to the Cauchy horizons of the AdS_4 space-time. The wall on the AdS_4 side sweeps out a hyperbolic trajectory over half the fundamental domain of pure AdS_4. By introducing new walls above the original walls, instead of the spatial infinities, one can form an indefinite lattice structure. Notice that the conformal diagram of the lattice extension bears remarkable similarities to the one of a non-extreme Reissner-Nordström black hole; however, *without* singularities.

FIG. 2. The M_4 side of the ultra-extreme domain wall. The two sides represent anti-podal pieces of the spherically symmetric space-time. In this case the Minkowski side corresponds to the outside of the de Sitter hyperboloid of Fig. 1. The **M** region (the M_4 side) is covered by (t, z). The AdS_4 side of the wall is discussed in Fig. 1. The two diagrams are glued to the AdS region of Fig. 1 by putting the AdS-cylinder in the hole of the **M** region and identifying across the wall regions. Angular coordinates are suppressed as in Fig. 1.